SINGLE BENCH

(3)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No.3704 /2005

PETITIONER : Ramesh Prasad Puri, S/o. Late Shri Jagan Sai Puri, aged about 31 years, R/o. Gram Dharhar, Post-Charchedi, Block-Tahsil, Marwahi, District – Bilaspur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3817/05
Presented by Shri S.K.Verma
dated 4/8/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Janpad Panchayat Marwahi, Through Chief Executive Officer, Marwahi, District-Bilaspur (C.G.).

2. Block Education Officer, Marwahi, District-Bilaspur (C.G.).

3. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Panchayat and Kalyan Department, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
4/8/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(24)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.:3704 **सन्** 2005

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12.8.2005 W.P.No.3704/2005 Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents on advance copy. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had appeared before the selection committee as a candidate for the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-III. Though a select list dated 10.6.2005 was published, the petitioner was not selected and other candidates were selected. He submits that thereafter, he made a representation before the Chief Executive Officer, who in turn replied that since the pay-slip of the petitioner was not received by the competent authority, therefore, it was not taken in to consideration for the purpose of allocation of requisite mark for previous experience and for this reason, he could not be selected. By way of this writ petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant marks on account of previous teaching experience and then to count the entire marks, secured by the petitioner and if the petitioner succeeds on this basis, he should be given appointment on the said post.	

(पीछे देखिये)

(22)

XI-HC-22

W.P.3704/05

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3704/ सन् 2005

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the admission of this writ petition. He submits that admittedly, the services of the petitioner of the Shiksha Karmis in the Panchayat are governed by the provisions of C.G. Panchayat Shiksha Karmis (Recruitment and Conditions of Services) Rules, 1997. He also submits that there is a provision of appeal in the aforesaid rules and the petitioner may avail the remedy of appeal and thereafter only, he should come to this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition with a liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy available under the law.

The petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with a liberty to avail the appropriate remedy under the rules. He is also granted liberty to come to this Court again if any adverse order is passed after availing the statutory remedy by him.

The petition stands finally disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P.No. 3116/2005 stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Sunita

(पीछे देखिये)

29.8.05